

Some open problems

A. A. Agrachev*

Abstract

We discuss some challenging open problems in the geometric control theory and sub-Riemannian geometry.

It is getting harder to prove theorems and easier to force other people to prove them when you are sixty. Some colleagues asked me to describe interesting open problems in geometric control and sub-Riemannian geometry. Here I list few really challenging problems; some of them are open for a long time and were publicly or privately stated by well-known experts: J.-M. Coron, I. Kupka, R. Montgomery, B. Shapiro, H. Sussmann, and others.

I. Singularities of time-optimal trajectories.

Let f, g be a pair of smooth (i.e. C^∞) vector fields on a n -dimensional manifold M . We study time-optimal trajectories for the system

$$\dot{q} = f(q) + ug(q), \quad |u| \leq 1, \quad q \in M,$$

with fixed endpoint. Admissible controls are just measurable functions and admissible trajectories are Lipschitz curves in M . We can expect more regularity from time-optimal trajectories imposing reasonable conditions on the pair of vector fields.

A. (f, g) is a generic pair of vector fields. Optimal trajectories cannot be all smooth; are they piecewise smooth? This is true for $n = 2$. More precisely, if $\dim M = 2$, then any point of M has a neighborhood such that any contained in the neighborhood time-optimal trajectory is piecewise smooth with at most 1 switching point (see [32, 11]). According to the control

*SISSA, Trieste & Steklov Math. Inst., Moscow

theory terminology, a switching point of an admissible trajectory is a point where the trajectory is not smooth.

The question is open for $n = 3$. What is known? Let $\text{sw}(q)$ be minimal among numbers k such that any contained in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $q \in M$ time-optimal trajectory has no more than k switching points. We set $\text{sw}(q) = \infty$ if any neighborhood of q contains a time-optimal trajectory with an infinite number of switching points. It is known that $\text{sw}(q) = 2$ for any q out of a 2-dimensional Whitney stratified subset of the 3-dimensional manifold M (see [33, 28]) and $\text{sw} \leq 4$ for any q out of a 1-dimensional Whitney stratified subset of M (see [7]). Some further results in this direction can be found in [31]. We do not know if $\text{sw}(q) < \infty$ for any $q \in M$. We also do not know if a weaker property, the finiteness of the number of switching points for any individual time-optimal trajectory is valid.

Higher dimensions. There is a common opinion that starting from some (not very big) dimension, time-optimal trajectories with accumulating switching points cannot be eliminated by a C^∞ -small perturbation of the system and thus survive any genericity conditions. However, to my knowledge, this opinion was never supported by a proof. There are very interesting examples of extremals with accumulating switching points whose structure survives small perturbations (see [21, 37]) but nobody knows if these extremals are optimal.

B. f, g are real analytic vector fields. Let M be a real analytic manifold and f, g analytic vector fields, not necessary generic. Here we cannot expect any regularity of an arbitrary time-optimal trajectory. Indeed, it is possible, even for linear systems, that all admissible trajectories are time-optimal. We can however expect that among all time-optimal trajectories connecting the same endpoints there is at least one not so bad.

If $n = 2$, then any two points connected by a time-optimal trajectory can be connected by a time-optimal trajectory with a finite number of switching points (see [35, 36]). This is not true for $n \geq 3$. Indeed, classical Fuller example with accumulating switching points [17] can be easily reformulated as a 3-dimensional time-optimal problem. Main open question here is as follows: Given two points connected by a time-optimal trajectory, can we connect them by a time-optimal trajectory with no more than a countable number of switching points?

What is known? The points can be connected by a time-optimal trajec-

tory whose set of switching points is nowhere dense [34], is not a Cantor set (can be derived from [1]), and satisfies some additional restrictions [31]. We do not know if we can avoid a positive measure set of switching points.

All mentioned open questions are not easy to answer. In my opinion, the most interesting is one on generic 3-dimensional systems.

II. Cutting the corners in sub-Riemannian spaces.

Unlikely the just discussed problems, optimal paths in sub-Riemannian geometry are usually smooth. However we do not know if they are always smooth. A natural open question here is as follows. Let $\gamma_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be two smooth admissible paths of a sub-Riemannian structure on the manifold M , $\gamma_0(0) = \gamma_1(0) = q_0$, $\dot{\gamma}_0(0) \wedge \dot{\gamma}_1(0) \neq 0$. Does there exist an admissible path connecting $\gamma_0(1)$ with $\gamma_1(1)$ that is strictly shorter than the concatenation of the curves γ_0 and γ_1 ?

Admissible paths are integral curves of a bracket generating vector distribution $\Delta \subset TM$. It is easy to show that positive answer to the question for rank 2 distributions implies positive answer in the general case. Let $\Delta = \text{span}\{f_0, f_1\}$, where f_0, f_1 are smooth vector fields on M , $\dim M = n$. We set

$$n_k(q) = \text{span} \{ [f_{i_1}, [\dots, f_{i_j}] \dots](q) : i_j \in \{0, 1\}, j \leq k \},$$

$m = \min\{k : n_k(q_0) = n\}$. If $m \leq 4$, then the answer to our question is positive: it is proved in [22]. Moreover, an example studied in [24] supports the conjecture that the answer is perhaps positive for $m = 5$, $n \leq 4$ as well. Any improvement of the estimates for m and n would be very interesting. We still know very little about sub-Riemannian structures with big m and it may happen that the answer is negative for some m and n .

III. “Morse–Sard theorem” for the endpoint maps.

We continue to consider admissible paths of a sub-Riemannian structure on M . Given $q_0 \in M$, the space of starting at q_0 admissible paths equipped with the H^1 -topology forms a smooth Hilbert manifold. The endpoint map is a smooth map from this Hilbert manifold to M ; it sends a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ to the point $\gamma(1)$. Critical points of the endpoint map are called *singular curves* of the distribution.

The Morse–Sard theorem for a smooth map defined on a finite dimensional manifold states that the set of critical values of the map has zero

measure. It is not true in the infinite dimensional case: there are smooth surjective maps without regular points from any infinite dimensional Banach space to $\mathbb{R}^2$ (see [9]).

The endpoint maps have plenty of regular points but we do not know if they always have regular values. This is an interesting open question. We can reformulate the question as follows: is it possible that starting from q_0 singular curves fill the whole manifold M ?

Optimal (i.e. length minimizing) singular curves are better controlled; we know that starting from q_0 optimal singular curves fill a nowhere dense subset of M (see [2]). An important open question: can they fill a positive measure subset of M ?

IV. Unfolding the sub-Riemannian distance.

The problem concerns singularities of the distance function for generic sub-Riemannian structures. Let $q_0 \in M$ and $S_{q_0} : M \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be the sub-Riemannian distance from the point q_0 . Sufficiently small balls $S^{-1}([0, \varepsilon])$ are compact. Let $q \in M$ be a point from such a ball. Then q is connected with q_0 by an optimal path. If this optimal path is not a singular curve and any point from a neighborhood of q is connected with q_0 by a unique optimal path, then S_{q_0} is smooth at q .

The points connected with q_0 by more than one optimal path form the *cut locus*. The function S_{q_0} is not smooth in the points of the cut locus and it is not smooth at the points connected with q_0 by optimal singular curves but these two types of singularities are very different.

If all connecting q_0 and q optimal paths are not singular curves, then the singularity of S_{q_0} at q is similar to singularities of Riemannian distances and, more generally, to singularities of the optimal costs of regular variational problems. The function S_{q_0} is semiconcave [12] and typical singularities in low dimensions are well-described by the theory of Lagrangian and Legendrian singularities [8, Ch. 3] developed by V. Arnold and his school.

On the other hand, if q_0 is connected with q by an optimal singular curve, then S_{q_0} is not even locally Lipschitz at q_0 (see [3, Ch. 10]); moreover, classical singularities theory does not work and the structure of typical singularities is totally unknown. There are few studied models [4, 27] but they are too symmetric to be typical and the structure of their singularities is easily destroyed by small perturbations.

Let us consider, in particular, the *Martinet distribution* that is a rank 2 distribution in $\mathbb{R}^3$ in a neighborhood of a point q_0 such that $n_2(q_0) =$

2, $n_3(q_0) = 3$ (see **II.** for the definition of $n_i(q_0)$). The points q in a neighborhood of q_0 where $n_2(q) = 2$ form a smooth 2-dimensional submanifold $N \subset M$, the *Martinet surface*. Moreover, the distribution Δ is transversal to N and $\Delta_q \cap T_q N$, $q \in N$ is a line distribution on N . Integral curves of this line distribution are singular curves whose small segments are optimal. There are no other singular curves for such a distribution.

Example. Let $f_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$, $f_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$, then $\Delta = \text{span}\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a Martinet distribution and the Martinet surface is a coordinate plane defined by the equation $x_1 = 0$. The fields f_1, f_2 form an orthonormal frame of the so called ‘flat’ sub-Riemannian metric on the Martinet distribution. Let $q_0 = 0$, singularities of S_0 are well-known (see [4]). The cut locus has the form: $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 = 0, x_2 \neq 0\}$, the Martinet surface with the removed singular curve through q_0 . The singular locus of a sphere $S_{q_0}^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ is a simple closed curve and its complement (the smooth part of the sphere) is diffeomorphic to the disjoint union of two discs.

The ‘flat’ metric is rather symmetric, in particular, it respects the orthogonal reflection of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with respect to the Martinet plane. Simple topological arguments show that for generic metric with a broken symmetry, the smooth part of a sphere is connected and is not contractible. The singular locus of the sphere should be cut at the points where the sphere intersects the optimal singular curve but the shape of the sphere near these points is unknown.

An important open question is to find a C^1 -classification of the germs of spheres at the points of optimal singular curves for generic metrics. Here we say that two germs are C^1 -equivalent if one can be transformed into another by a germ of C^1 -diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

The next step is the Engel distribution, i.e. a rank 2 distribution in $\mathbb{R}^4$ such that $n_3(q) = 3$, $n_4(q) = 4$. There is exactly one singular curve through any point and small segments of singular curves are optimal. We repeat our question for this case; the spheres are now 3-dimensional hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

Generic germ of a rank 2 distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n$ possesses a $(n-4)$ -dimensional family of singular curves through $q_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (see, for instance, [25]). Take a generic curve from this family; its small segments are optimal. Take a point q where the selected singular curve intersects the sphere $S_{q_0}^{-1}(\varepsilon)$. The points of singular curves from the family in a small neighborhood of q in our sphere form a smooth $(n-4)$ -dimensional submanifold $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The intersection of the sphere with a transversal to Σ smooth 4-dimensional submanifold should have a shape similar to the germ of the sphere in the Engel case. A

neighborhood of q in the sphere is fibered by such intersections. Hence the solution of the problem in the 4-dimensional Engel case is a very important step in the unfolding of the sphere for any $n \geq 4$.

The desired classification seems to be complicated. There is a 2-dimensional modification of the problem that, in my opinion, already contains the main difficulty. Once resolved, it will reduce the study of higher dimensional problems to the conventional singularities theory techniques. Consider the germ at $q_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ of a pair of smooth vector fields f_0, f_1 such that $f_0(q_0) \wedge f_1(q_0) = 0$, $n_2(q_0) = 1$, $n_3(q_0) = 2$. The almost Riemannian distance $S_{q_0}(q)$ is the optimal time to get q from q_0 by an admissible trajectory of the system

$$\dot{q} = u_0 f_0(q) + u_1 f_1(q), \quad u_1^2 + u_2^2 = 1.$$

The question is to find a C^1 -classification of the germs of distance functions S_{q_0} for generic pairs of vector fields f_0, f_1 among the pairs that satisfy conditions $n_2(q_0) = 1$, $n_3(q_0) = 2$. See [10] for some partial results.

V. Symmetries of vector distributions.

A symmetry of a distribution $\Delta \subset TM$ is a diffeomorphism $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$ such that $\Phi_* \Delta = \Delta$. The differential geometry appeals to search most symmetric objects in the class, those with a maximal symmetry group. The singularities theory, on the contrary, encourages the study of less symmetric generic objects. Both paradigms have their reasons and complement each other. Anyway, a fundamental problem is to characterize objects whose symmetry groups are finite-dimensional Lie groups.

Our objects are vector distributions. Any symmetry transfers singular curves of the distribution in singular curves and these curves often play a key role in the calculation of symmetry groups (see [20, 15]). We say that a distribution is singular transitive if any two points of M can be connected by a concatenation of singular curves. A natural open question is as follows: Is it true that singular transitivity of the distribution implies that its symmetry group is a finite dimensional Lie group?

All known examples support the positive answer to this question. Moreover, the group of symmetries is infinite dimensional for many popular classes of not singular transitive distribution: codimension 1 distributions, involutive distributions, Goursat–Darboux distributions. We can even expect that any not singular transitive rank 2 distribution has an infinite dimensional symmetry group. Some results of [15] seem to be rather close to this statement.

VI. Closed curves with a nondegenerate Frenet frame.

Let $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We say that γ is degenerate at $t \in S^1$ if $\dot{\gamma}(t) \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma^{(n)}(t) = 0$. Degeneracy points are the points where velocity or curvature of the curve vanishes if $n = 2$, where velocity or curvature or torsion vanishes if $n = 3$ e. t. c. The curve is nondegenerate if it has no degeneracy points. Any nondegenerate curve admits the orthonormal Frenet frame $E(t) = (e_1(t), \dots, e_n(t))$, $t \in S^1$, that is a smooth closed curve in the orthogonal group $O(n)$.

Now let $n = 3$ and γ be a plane convex curve, $\gamma(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $\forall t \in S^1$. Then any small perturbation of γ as a spatial curve is degenerate in some points. On the other hand, an appropriate small perturbation of a plane convex curve run twice (say, of the curve $t \mapsto \gamma(2t)$, $t \in S^1$) makes it a nondegenerate curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Everyone can get evidence of that playing with a cord on the desk. This is also a mathematical fact proved in [16, 23].

Frenet frame of the plane convex curve treated as a spatial curve is a one-parametric subgroup $SO(2) \subset O(3)$, a shortest closed geodesic in $O(3)$ equipped with a standard bi-invariant metric. It is proved in [23] that the length of the Frenet frame of any regular curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is greater than the double length of $SO(2)$.

Come back to an arbitrary n . Let $\mu(n)$ be minimal m such that run m times convex plane curves have regular small perturbations in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We know that $\mu(2) = 1$, $\mu(3) = 2$. An important open problem is to find $\mu(n)$ for $n > 3$ and to check if the length of the Frenet frame of any regular curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is greater than the length of $SO(2) \subset O(n)$ multiplied by $\mu(n)$.

Let me explain why this problem is a challenge for the optimal control theory and why its study may bring important new tools to the theory. The Frenet structural equations for a regular curve γ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ have a form:

$$\dot{\gamma} = e_1, \quad \dot{e}_i = u_k(t)e_{i+1} - u_{i-1}(t)e_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (1)$$

where $u_0 = u_n = 0$, $u_i(t) > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, $t \in S^1$.

In other words, regular curves together with there Frenet frames are periodic admissible trajectories of the control system (1) with positive control parameters $u_1, \dots, u_n$. The length of the Frenet frame on the segment $[0, t_1]$ is $\int_0^{t_1} (u_1^2(t) + \cdots + u_{n-1}^2(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} dt$. We are looking for a periodic trajectory with shortest Frenet frame.

A shortest frame is unlikely to exist since control parameters belong to an open cone. It is reasonable to expect that minimizing sequences converge to a solution of (1) with $u_2(t) \equiv \dots \equiv u_{n-1}(t) \equiv 0$, while $u_1(t)$ stays positive to guarantee the periodicity of γ . In other words, the infimum is most likely realized by a plane convex curve run several times. Obviously, the length of the Frenet frame does not depend on the shape of the convex curve.

So we have to take the m times run circle: $u_1(t) = 1$, $u_2(t) = \dots = u_{n-1}(t) = 0$, $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi m$, and try to find small positive perturbations of control parameters in such a way that the perturbed curve stays periodic. Then $\mu(n)$ is minimal among m for which such a perturbation does exist. Unfortunately, we cannot use typical in geometric control sophisticated two-side variations that produce iterated Lie brackets: only one-side variations are available. I think, it is a very good model to understand high order effects of time-distributed one-side variations.

The study of the 3-dimensional case by Milnor in [23] was not variational; it was a nice application of the integral geometry. However, the integral geometry method is less efficient in higher dimensions (see [26] for some partial results).

VII. Controllability of the Navier–Stokes equations controlled by a localized degenerate forcing.

We consider the Navier–Stokes equation of the incompressible fluid:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u, \nabla)u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = \eta(t, x), \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0, \quad (2)$$

with periodic boundary conditions: $x \in \mathbb{T}^d / 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$, $d = 2, 3$. Here $u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the velocity of the fluid at the point x and moment t ; ν is a positive constant (viscosity), p is the pressure and η external force.

We treat (2) as an evolution equation in the space of divergence free vector fields on the torus $\mathbb{T}^d$ controlled by the force. In other words, $u(t, \cdot)$ is the state of our infinite dimensional control system and η is a control. These notations are against the control theory tradition where u is always control but we do not want to violate absolutely standard notations of the mathematical fluid dynamics. By the way, symbol u for the control was introduced by Pontryagin as the first letter of the Russian word “управление” that means control.

The state space is $V = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) : \operatorname{div} u = 0\}$, control parameters $\eta(t, \cdot)$ belong to a subspace $E \subset V$. We say that the system is approximately

controllable (controllable in finite dimensional projections) in any time if for any $u_0, u_1 \in V$, $t_1 > 0$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ (any finite dimensional subspace $F \subset V$) there exists a bounded control η , $\eta(t, \cdot) \in E$, $0 \leq t \leq t_1$, and a solution u of (2) such that $u(0, \cdot) = u_0$, $\|u(t_1, \cdot) - u_1\|_{L_2} < \varepsilon$ ($P_F(u(t_1, \cdot) - u_1) = 0$, where P_F is the L_2 -orthogonal projector on F).

Of course, controllability properties depend on the choice of the space of control parameters E . It is known that the systems is controllable in both senses by a *localized forcing* when $E = \{u \in V : \text{supp } u \subset \bar{\mathcal{D}}\}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is an arbitrary open subset of $\mathbb{T}^d$. Moreover, such E provides a much stronger exact controllability (see [13, 14, 18, 19]).

On the other hand, the system is approximately controllable and controllable in finite-dimensional projections by a *degenerate forcing* (or forcing with a localized spectrum) when E is a finite dimensional space of low frequency trigonometric polynomials (see [5, 6, 29, 30]). This kind of controllability illustrates a mechanism of the energy propagation from low to higher frequencies that is a necessary step in the long way towards a reliable mathematical model for the well-developed turbulence.

It is important that the control parameters space E does not depend on the viscosity ν . Moreover, if $d = 2$, then the described controllability properties are valid also for the Euler equation (i. e. for $\nu = 0$); the Cauchy problem for the Euler equation is well-posed in this case.

Now an important open question: is the system approximately controllable and (or) controllable in the finite dimensional projections by a *localized degenerate forcing* when E is a finite dimensional subspace of the space $\{u \in V : \text{supp } u \subset \bar{\mathcal{D}}\}$? The question is about existence and effective construction of such a space E that does not depend on the viscosity ν .

The independence on ν is important for eventual applications to the well-developed turbulence that concerns the case of very small ν (or very big Reynold number). Of course, similar problems for other boundary conditions and other functional spaces are also very interesting.

We have arrived to a sacral number of seven problems and can relax a little bit. To conclude, I would like to discuss one more problem; it is less precise than already stated questions but, to my taste, is nice and fascinating. The problem concerns contact 3-dimensional manifolds and is inspired by the Ricci flow story.

∞. Diffusion along the Reeb field.

I recall that a contact structure on a 3-dimensional manifold M is a rank 2 distribution $\Delta \subset TM$ such that $n_2(q) = 3$, $\forall q \in M$. According to a classical Martinet theorem, any orientable 3-dimensional manifold admits a contact structure. I am going to introduce some dynamics on the space of sub-Riemannian metrics on a fixed compact contact manifold (M, Δ) .

First, to any sub-Riemannian metric on (M, Δ) we associate a transversal to Δ Reeb vector field e on M . In what follows, we assume that Δ is oriented; otherwise $e(q)$ is defined up-to a sign but further considerations are easily extended to this case. Let ω be a nonvanishing differential 1-form on M that annihilates Δ . The condition $n_2(q) = 3$ is equivalent to the inequality $\omega_q \wedge d_q\omega \neq 0$. The form ω is defined up-to the multiplication by a nonvanishing function; the sign of the 3-form $\omega_q \wedge d_q\omega$ does not depend on the choice of ω and defines an orientation on M . We have: $d_q\omega|_{\Delta_q} \neq 0$; moreover, $d_q(a\omega)|_{\Delta_q} = a(q)d_q\omega|_{\Delta_q}$ for any smooth function a of M .

Given a sub-Riemannian metric on Δ , there exists a unique annihilating Δ form ω such that the 2-form $d_q\omega|_{\Delta_q}$ coincides with the area form on Δ_q defined by the inner product and the orientation. The kernel of $d_q\omega$ is a 1-dimensional subspace of T_qM transversal to Δ_q , and $e(q)$ is an element of this kernel normalized by the condition $\langle \omega_q, e(q) \rangle = 1$.

In other words, the Reeb vector field is defined by the conditions: $i_e\omega = 1$, $i_e d\omega = 0$. Hence $L_e\omega = 0$, where L_e is the Lie derivative along e , and the generated by e flow on M preserves ω . In general, this flow does not preserve the sub-Riemannian metric. We may try to classify contact structures by selecting best possible sub-Riemannian metrics on them.

Assume that there exists a metric preserved by the flow generated by the Reeb vector field. Take a standard extension of the sub-Riemannian metric to a Riemannian metric on M : simply say that e is orthogonal to Δ and has length 1. The generated by e flow preserves this Riemannian metric as well. So our compact Riemannian space admits a one-parametric group of isometries without equilibria. Hence M is a Seifert bundle. Do not care if you do not remember what is Seifert bundle: it is sufficient to know that they are classified as well as invariant contact structures on them.

The invariant with respect to the Reeb field sub-Riemannian metric gives a lot of information about the manifold. Let $q \in M$; our sub-Riemannian metric induces a structure of Riemannian surface on a neighborhood of q factorized by the trajectories of the local flow generated by the restriction of e

to the neighborhood. Let $\kappa(q)$ be the Gaussian curvature of this Riemannian surface at the point q ; then κ is a well-defined smooth function on M , a differential invariant of the sub-Riemannian metric. Moreover, κ is a first integral of the flow generated by the Reeb field e . If $\kappa = 0$, then universal covering of the sub-Riemannian manifold is isometric to the Heisenberg group endowed with the standard left-invariant metric. If κ is a negative (positive) constant, then universal covering of the sub-Riemannian manifold is isometric to the universal covering of the group $SL(2)$ (group $SU(2)$) equipped with a left-invariant sub-Riemannian metric induced by the Killing form.

Assume that function κ is not a constant and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is its regular value. Then $\kappa^{-1}(c)$ is a compact 2-dimensional submanifold of M ; we treat it as a 2-dimensional Riemannian submanifold of the Riemannian manifold M equipped with the standard extension of the sub-Riemannian structure. It is easy to see that $\kappa^{-1}(c)$ is isometric to a flat torus. Indeed, $T(\kappa^{-1}(c))$ contains the field $e|_{\kappa^{-1}(c)}$ and is transversal to Δ ; the field $e|_{\kappa^{-1}(c)}$ and the unit length field from the line distribution $T(\kappa^{-1}(c)) \cap \Delta$ commute and form an orthonormal frame.

So preserved by the Reeb field sub-Riemannian metrics have plenty of nice properties. Unfortunately, not any compact contact manifold admits such a metric because not any compact 3-dimensional manifold admits a structure of Seifert bundle. I am going to discuss a natural procedure that may lead to a generalized version of such a metric with reasonable singularities.

It is more convenient to work in the cotangent bundle than in the tangent one. A sub-Riemannian metric is an inner product on $\Delta \subset TM$; let us consider the dual inner product on $\Delta^* = T^*M/\Delta^\perp$, where $\Delta^\perp$ is the annihilator of Δ . This is a family of positive definite quadratic forms on $\Delta^* = T_q^*M/\Delta_q^\perp$, $q \in M$, or, in other words, a family of nonnegative quadratic forms h_q on T_q^*M such that $\ker h_q = \Delta_q^\perp$. The function

$$h : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ where } h(\xi) = h_q(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in T_q^*M, \quad q \in M,$$

is the *Hamiltonian of the sub-Riemannian metric*. Hamiltonian vector field on T^*M associated to h generates the sub-Riemannian geodesic flow.

The Hamiltonian h determines both the vector distribution and the inner product. We denote by $u_h : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the Hamiltonian lift of the Reeb field e ,

$$u_h(\xi) = \langle \xi, e(q) \rangle, \quad \forall \xi \in T_q^*M, \quad q \in M,$$

and by $\mathcal{U}_h^t : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the Hamiltonian flow generated by the Hamiltonian field associated to u_h . The flow $\mathcal{U}_h^t$ is a lift to the cotangent

bundle of the flow on M generated by e . Let $P_t : M \rightarrow M$ be such a flow, $\frac{\partial P_t(q)}{\partial t} = e \circ P_t(q)$, $P_0(q) = q$, $q \in M$; then $\mathcal{U}_h^t = P_{-t}^*$. The flow P_t preserves the sub-Riemannian metric if and only if the flow $\mathcal{U}_h^t$ preserves h ; in other words, if and only if $\{u_h, h\} = 0$, where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Poisson bracket. Note that $h|_{T_q^*M}$ is a quadratic form and $u_h|_{T_q^*M}$ is a linear form, $\forall q \in M$; hence $\{u_h, h\}|_{T_q^*M}$ is a quadratic form.

Recall that the flow $\mathcal{U}_h^t = P_{-t}^*$ preserves the 1-form ω , and ω is a non-vanishing section of the line distribution $\Delta^\perp$. Hence $\Delta^\perp$ is contained in the kernel of the quadratic forms $h \circ \mathcal{U}_h^t|_{T_q^*M}$ and $\underbrace{\{u_h, \{\dots \{u_h, h\} \dots\}}_i|_{T_q^*M} =$

$$\frac{d^i}{dt^i} \Big|_{t=0} (h \circ \mathcal{U}_h^t)|_{T_q^*M}.$$

We are now ready to introduce the promised dynamics on the space of sub-Riemannian metrics on Δ , where metrics are represented by their Hamiltonians. Let ε be a positive smooth function on M . A discrete time dynamical system transforms a Hamiltonian h_n into the Hamiltonian

$$h_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} h_n \circ \mathcal{U}_{h_n}^t dt, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

a partial average of h_n with respect to the flow $\mathcal{U}_h^t$.

The Hamiltonian h_{n+1} is equal to h_n if and only if $\{u_{h_n}, h_n\} = 0$. Indeed, let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_q$ be an inner product in Δ_q^* and $H_q^t : \Delta_q^* \rightarrow \Delta_q^*$ the symmetric operator associated to the quadratic form $h_n \circ \mathcal{U}_{h_n}^t|_{\Delta_q^*}$ by this inner product: $h_n \circ \mathcal{U}_{h_n}^t(\cdot) = \langle H_n^t \cdot, \cdot \rangle_q$. Recall that the flow $\mathcal{U}_{h_n}^t$ is generated by the Reeb field of h_n , hence the area form on Δ_q^* defined by $h_n \circ \mathcal{U}_{h_n}^t|_{\Delta_q^*}$ does not depend on t ; in other words, $\det H_n^t = \text{const}$. The equation $\det H = \text{const}$ defines a strongly convex hyperboloid in the 3-dimensional cone of positive definite symmetric operators on the plane, and H_n^t is a curve in such a hyperboloid; hence $\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} H_n^t dt = H_n^0$ if and only if $H_\varepsilon^t \equiv H_n^0$, i. e. $h_n \circ \mathcal{U}_{h_n}^t \equiv h_n$.

If the sequence h_n converges, then its limit is the Hamiltonian of a sub-Riemannian metric on Δ preserved by the Reeb field. Otherwise we may modify the sequence and take scaled averages:

$$h_{n+1} = c_n \int_{-\varepsilon_n}^{\varepsilon_n} h_n \circ \mathcal{U}_{h_n}^t dt.$$

There is a good chance to arrive to a nonzero limiting Hamiltonian h_∞ by a clever choice of the sequences of positive functions ε_n, c_n . Then $h_\infty|_{T_q^*M}$ is a nonnegative quadratic form and $\Delta_q^\perp \subset \ker h_\infty|_{T_q^*M}$ for any $q \in M$. It may happen however that $\text{rank}(h_\infty|_{T_q^*M}) < 2$ for some $q \in M$ and h is not the Hamiltonian of a contact sub-Riemannian metric; we can treat it as a generalized version of such a metric.

A continuous time analogue of the introduced dynamics is a “heat along the Reeb field” equation

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = c\{u_h, \{u_h, h\}\}$$

in the space of sub-Riemannian metrics on the given contact distribution. It is easy to show that the equality $\{u_h, \{u_h, h\}\} = 0$ implies $\{u_h, h\} = 0$ and stationary solutions of this equation are exactly the metrics preserved by the Reeb fields. I conclude with an explicit expression for this nice and mysterious evolution equation in the appropriate frame.

All contact distributions are locally equivalent according to the Darboux theorem. Let f_1, f_2 be a basis of the contact distribution Δ such that f_1, f_2 generate a Heisenberg Lie algebra: $[f_1, [f_1, f_2]] = [f_2, [f_2, f_1]] = 0$. We set $v_i(\xi) = \langle \xi, f_i(q) \rangle$, $\xi \in T_q^*M$, $q \in M$, the Hamiltonian lift of the field f_i , $i = 1, 2$; then

$$\{v_1, \{v_1, v_2\}\} = \{v_2, \{v_2, v_1\}\} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Hamiltonian of any sub-Riemannian metric on Δ has a form:

$$h = a_{11}v_1^2 + 2a_{12}v_1v_2 + a_{22}v_2^2, \quad (4)$$

where a_{ij} are smooth functions on the domain in M where f_1, f_2 form a basis of Δ , and the quadratic form defined by the matrix $A(q) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(q) & a_{12}(q) \\ a_{12}(q) & a_{22}(q) \end{pmatrix}$ is positive definite for any q from this domain. Let $\delta = \det A$; this is a function on M and we treat it as a constant on the fibers function on T^*M . A key for us function u_h depends only on δ and has a form:

$$-u_h = \delta\{v_1, v_2\} + v_1\{v_2, \delta\} + v_2\{\delta, v_1\}. \quad (5)$$

The relations (3)–(5) give an explicit expression for the equation $\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = c\{u_h, \{u_h, h\}\}$ as a system of third order partial differential equations for the functions a_{ij} .

References

- [1] A. Agrachev, *On regularity properties of extremal controls*. J. Dynam. Contr. Syst., 1995, v.1, 319–324
- [2] A. Agrachev, *Any sub-Riemannian metric has points of smoothness*. arXiv:0808.4059
- [3] A. Agrachev, D. Barilari, U. Boscain, *Introduction to Riemannian and sub-Riemannian geometry*. Preprint SISSA, 2012; <http://hdl.handle.net/1963/5877>
- [4] A. Agrachev, B. Bonnard, M. Chyba, I. Kupka, *Sub-Riemannian sphere in the Martinet flat case*. ESAIM: Contr. Optim. Calc. Var., 1997, v.2, 377–448
- [5] A. Agrachev, A. Sarychev, *Navier–Stokes equations: controllability by means of low modes forcing*. J. Math. Fluid Mech., 2005, v.7, 108–152
- [6] A. Agrachev, A. Sarychev, *Controllability of 2D Euler and Navier–Stokes equations by degenerate forcing*. Commun. Math. Phys.,
- [7] A. Agrachev, M. Sigalotti, *On the local structure of optimal trajectories in $\mathbb{R}^3$* . SIAM J. Control Optim., 2003, v.42, 513–531
- [8] V. Arnold, S. Gusejn-Zade, A. Varchenko, *Singularities of differentiable maps*. Birkhäuser, 1985
- [9] S. Bates, *On smooth rank 1 mappings of Banach spaces onto the plane*. J. Diff. Geom., 1993, v.37, 729–733
- [10] B. Bonnard, G. Charlit, R. Ghezzi, G. Janin, *The sphere and the cut locus at a tangency point in two-dimensional almost Riemannian geometry*. J. Dynam. Contr. Syst., 2011, v.17, 141–161
- [11] U. Boscain, B. Piccoli, *Optimal syntheses for control systems on 2-D manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xiv+261 pp.
- [12] P. Cannarsa, L. Rifford, *Semiconcavity results for optimal control problems admitting no singular minimizing controls*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré – Anal. Non Linéaire, 2008, v.25, 773–802

- [13] J.-M. Coron, *On the controllability of the 2D incompressible Navier–Stokes equations with the Navier slip boundary conditions*. ESAIM: Contr. Optim. Calc. Var., 1995/96, v.1, 35–75
- [14] J.-M. Coron, A. Fursikov, *Global exact controllability of the 2D Navier–Stokes equations on a manifold without boundary*. Russian J. Math. Phys., 1996, v.4, 429–448
- [15] B. Doubrov, I. Zelenko, *On local geometry of non-holonomic rank 2 distributions*. J. London Math. Soc., 2009, v.80, 545–566
- [16] W. Fenchel, *On the differential geometry of closed space curves*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 1951, v.57, 44–54
- [17] A. Fuller, *Study of an optimal nonlinear system*. J. Electronics Control, 1963, v.15, 63–71
- [18] A. Fursikov, O. Imanuilov, *Exact controllability of the Navier–Stokes and Bussinesq equations*. Russian Math. Surveys, 1999, v.54, 93–146
- [19] O. Imanuilov, *On exact controllability for the Navier–Stokes equations*. ESAIM: Contr. Optim. Calc. Var., 1998, v.3, 97–131
- [20] W. Krynski, I. Zelenko, *Canonical frames for distributions of odd rank and corank 2 with maximal first Kronecker index*. J. Lie Theory, 2011, v.21, 307–346
- [21] I. Kupka, *The ubiquity of Fuller’s phenomenon*. In: Nonlinear controllability and optimal control, (H. Sussmann, ed.), Marcel Dekker, 1990
- [22] G. Leonardi, R. Monti, *End-point equations and regularity of sub-Riemannian geodesics*. Geom. Func. Anal., 2008, v.18, 552–582
- [23] J. Milnor, *On total curvatures of closed space curves*. Math. Scand., 1953, 289–296
- [24] R. Monti, *A family of nonminimizing abnormal curves*. Preprint CVGMT, 2013; <http://cvgmt.sns.it/paper/2068/>
- [25] R. Montgomery, *A tour of subriemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications*. Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, 2002, xx+259 pp.

- [26] D. Novikov, S. Yakovenko, *Integral curvatures, oscillation and rotation of spatial curves around affine subspaces*. J. Dynam. Contr. Syst., 1996, v.2, 157–191
- [27] Yu. Sachkov, *Complete description of the Maxwell strata in the generalized Dido problem*. Sbornik: Mathematics, 2006, v.197, 901–950
- [28] H. Schättler, *Regularity properties of optimal trajectories: Recently developed techniques*. In: Nonlinear controllability and optimal control, (H. Sussmann, ed.), Marcel Dekker, 1990
- [29] A. Shirikyan, *Approximate controllability of three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*. Commun. Math. Phys., 2006, v.266, 123–151
- [30] A. Shirikyan, *Exact controllability in projections for three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré—Anal. Non Linéaire, 2007, v.24, 521–537
- [31] M. Sigalotti, *Single-input control affine systems: local regularity of optimal trajectories and a geometric controllability problem*. Ph. D. Thesis SISSA, 2003; <http://hdl.handle.net/1963/5342>
- [32] H. Sussmann, *Time-optimal control in the plane*. In: Feedback control of linear and nonlinear systems, Lecture Notes in control and Information Science, v.39, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, 244–260
- [33] H. Sussmann, *Envelopes, conjugate points and optimal bang-bang extremals*. In: Proc. 1985 Paris Conf. on Nonlinear Systems (M. Fliess and M. Hazewinkel, eds.), D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1986
- [34] H. Sussmann, *A weak regularity for real analytic optimal control problems*. Revista matemática Iberoamericana, 1986, v.2, 307–317
- [35] H. Sussmann, *The structure of time-optimal trajectories for single-input systems in the plane: the C nonsingular case*. SIAM J. Control Optim., 1987, 25, 433–465
- [36] H. Sussmann, *The structure of time-optimal trajectories for single-input systems in the plane: the general real analytic case*. SIAM J. Control Optim., 1987, 25, 868–904

- [37] M. Zelikin, V. Borisov, Theory of chattering control with applications to astronautics, robotics, economics and engineering, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994